

1    ***Predicting future weight status from***  
2            ***measurements made in early***  
3            ***childhood: A novel longitudinal***  
4            ***approach applied to Millennium***  
5            ***Cohort Study data***

6    Miss Emma Mead: PhD student, Health and Social Care  
7    Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BA

8    Professor Alan Batterham: Professor in Exercise Science,  
9    Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University,  
10   Middlesbrough, TS1 3BA

11   Professor Greg Atkinson: Professor of Health Sciences and  
12   Biostatistics Research, Health and Social Care Institute,  
13   Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BA

14   Dr Louisa Ells: Reader in Public Health and Obesity, Health and  
15   Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1  
16   3BA

17

18   **Correspondence to:**

19   Miss Emma Mead  
20   Health and Social Care Institute  
21   Teesside University  
22   Middlesbrough  
23   TS1 3BA

24   [E.MEAD@tees.ac.uk](mailto:E.MEAD@tees.ac.uk)

25

26   **Conflict of interest:** Dr Louisa Ells is seconded to Public Health  
27   England 2 days per week as a specialist academic advisor.

28    **Abstract**

29    **Background/objective:** There are reports that childhood  
30    obesity tracks into later life. Nevertheless, some tracking  
31    statistics, e.g. correlations, do not quantify individual  
32    agreement, while others, e.g. diagnostic test statistics, can be  
33    difficult to translate into practice. We aimed to employ a novel  
34    analytic approach, based on ordinal logistic regression, to  
35    predict weight status of 11-year-old children from  
36    measurements at age 5.

37    **Subjects/methods:** UK 1990 growth references were used to  
38    generate clinical weight status categories of 12 076 children  
39    enrolled in the Millennium Cohort Study. Using ordinal  
40    regression, we derived the predicted probability (percent  
41    chances) of an 11-year-old child becoming underweight,  
42    normal weight, overweight, obese and severely obese from  
43    their weight status category at age 5.

44    **Results:** The chances of becoming obese (including severely  
45    obese) at age 11 were 5.7% (95% CI: 5.2% to 6.2%) for a  
46    normal weight 5-year-old and 32.3% (29.8% to 34.8%) for an  
47    overweight 5-year-old. An obese 5-year-old child had a 68.1%  
48    (63.8% to 72.5%) chance of remaining obese at 11 years.  
49    Severely obese 5-year-old children had a 50.3% (43.1% to

50 57.4%) chance of remaining severely obese. There were no  
51 substantial differences between sexes. Non-deprived obese 5-  
52 year-old boys had a lower probability of remaining obese than  
53 deprived obese boys: -21.8% (-40.4% to -3.2%). This  
54 association was not observed in obese 5-year-old girls, in  
55 whom the non-deprived group had a probability of remaining  
56 obese 7% higher (-15.2% to 29.2%). The sex difference in this  
57 interaction of deprivation and baseline weight status was  
58 therefore -28.8% (-59.3% to 1.6%).

59 **Conclusions:** We have demonstrated that ordinal logistic  
60 regression can be an informative approach to predict the  
61 chances of a child changing to, or from, an unhealthy weight  
62 status. This approach is easy to interpret and could be applied  
63 to any longitudinal dataset with an ordinal outcome.

64

65

66

67

68

69

## 70    **Introduction**

71    The increasing prevalence of childhood obesity has become a  
72    major public health issue worldwide in both developing and  
73    developed countries.<sup>(1)</sup> The consequences of childhood obesity  
74    can be severe, with an increased risk of developing conditions  
75    such as, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and psychosocial  
76    disorders.<sup>(2, 3)</sup> Furthermore, there is some evidence that  
77    children who are overweight or obese are more likely to be  
78    overweight or obese adults; hence, they are more likely to  
79    suffer from comorbidities when they reach adulthood.<sup>(4)</sup>  
80    Nevertheless, most adults who are overweight or obese now  
81    were of normal weight as children.<sup>(5)</sup>

82    In England, approximately 1 in 5 children aged 4-5 years old  
83    and 1 in 3 children aged 10-11 are either overweight or  
84    obese<sup>a</sup>. These figures are from the National Child  
85    Measurement Programme (NCMP), which was introduced into  
86    England in 2006 to measure the height and weight of children  
87    in Reception (4-5 years old) and Year 6 (10-11 years old). The  
88    rationale for introducing the NCMP included the gathering of  
89    population level data on growth trends, informing service  
90    planning and delivery, and increasing awareness of weight  
91    issues in children.<sup>(6)</sup> The results from the programme are

---

<sup>a</sup> defined using the UK90 population monitoring cut points for overweight ( $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$  centile) and obesity ( $\geq 95^{\text{th}}$  centile)

92 routinely fed back to parents via letters.<sup>(7)</sup> There is a standard  
93 template that may be used by each local authority in England;  
94 however, some areas make changes to the letter or do not use  
95 the letter at all. This variation in practice leads to a lack of  
96 consistency in how local authorities present the results and  
97 whether they offer further support to the parents/children. In  
98 some local authorities the letter suggests that children who  
99 are overweight/obese during Primary School are more likely to  
100 be overweight/obese in adulthood; some letters have  
101 previously stated that overweight or obese children are more  
102 likely to develop disorders such as cancer, diabetes and  
103 cardiovascular disease.<sup>(8)</sup> Such information can be distressing  
104 and also confusing for parents; therefore, it is important to  
105 provide parents with information that is acceptably accurate,  
106 informative and easy to understand.

107 The NCMP allows the annual prevalence of childhood obesity  
108 to be reported. The NCMP also has the potential to provide  
109 prognostic information, i.e. to ascertain whether an individual  
110 child is likely or not to have an unhealthy weight status when  
111 measured again later in life. Nevertheless, this issue of  
112 “tracking” is currently difficult to explore using NCMP data,  
113 which up until 2013 was anonymised before the annual upload

114 to the national data collection system, thus prohibiting any  
115 data linkage on an individual level<sup>(9)</sup>.

116 A statistic that is used commonly in body mass index (BMI)  
117 tracking research is the correlation coefficient. In a recent  
118 meta-analysis<sup>(10)</sup>, tracking correlations were synthesised from  
119 48 studies, which varied in their duration between initial and  
120 follow-up measurements. The authors of this review  
121 concluded that a high degree of tracking existed for follow-up  
122 durations of 1, 10 and 20 years, with respective correlation  
123 coefficients of 0.78-0.86, 0.67-0.78 and 0.27-0.47, respectively.  
124 However, a correlation coefficient does not quantify the  
125 prediction error for individual children.<sup>(11)</sup> Odds ratios, derived  
126 from binary logistic regression models, are also commonly  
127 reported in BMI tracking research. For example, in a recent  
128 secondary analysis of the NCMP data for South  
129 Gloucestershire, England,<sup>(12)</sup> multiple binary logistic models  
130 were used to derive over twenty separate odds ratios for boys,  
131 girls and the pooled sample across various weight categories.  
132 In this latter study, one odds ratio was cited to infer,  
133 incorrectly, that children who were overweight in Reception  
134 (85<sup>th</sup>-94<sup>th</sup> percentile, UK 1990 growth reference charts) were  
135 “13 times more likely” to be overweight or obese in Year 6,  
136 compared to children who were between the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 49<sup>th</sup>

137 percentile in Reception. It is not uncommon for odds ratios  
138 and relative risks to be misrepresented in research, rendering  
139 them difficult to translate to practitioners and patients.<sup>(13)</sup>  
140 Furthermore, the analysis by Pearce et al. (2015) only used the  
141 population monitoring cut offs for overweight and obesity; in  
142 the NCMP feedback letters the clinical cut offs are used.  
143 Pearce et al. (2015) also did not predict the odds of a child  
144 becoming severely obese, which has shown to be an increasing  
145 concern in England.<sup>(14)</sup> Lastly, BMI weight categories are clearly  
146 ordinal level data, rendering the use of many binary logistic  
147 regression models across multiple pairs of weight categories  
148 non-parsimonious.

149 Finally, diagnostic test statistics such as sensitivity and  
150 specificity can help ascertain the individual agreement  
151 between two different measurements of status.<sup>(15)</sup>  
152 Nevertheless, several additional statistics (e.g. positive  
153 predictive value, negative predictive value, and positive and  
154 negative likelihood ratios) are required for a full  
155 interpretation, rendering results that are sometimes difficult  
156 to explain to a layperson, such as a child's parent. Steurer et  
157 al. (2002) reported that even general practitioners can struggle  
158 to apply the statistics from the appraisal of a diagnostic test.<sup>(16)</sup>

159 The aim of this secondary analysis of longitudinal data was to  
160 develop a robust analytic approach to predict the individual  
161 weight status of 11-year-old children from weight status data  
162 collected at age 5, and to explore the influences of sex and  
163 deprivation.

## 164 **Subjects and methods**

165 Subjects in this secondary data analysis are from the  
166 Millennium Cohort Study (MCS), which recruited over 19 000  
167 children born in the UK between 1<sup>st</sup> of September 2000 and  
168 11<sup>th</sup> January 2002. Children were identified from the Child  
169 Benefit register and were recruited, along with their families,  
170 when they were approximately 9 months old.<sup>(17)</sup> The study  
171 used disproportionately stratified sampling to over-represent  
172 disadvantaged populations and areas with a high prevalence  
173 of Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) communities.<sup>(18)</sup>

174 Data were downloaded from the UK data archive, from sweep  
175 1 and sweep 5 of the data collection, to select children who  
176 were of similar ages to those taking part in the NCMP (it is also  
177 possible that the children resident in England were also  
178 measured in the NCMP). The following variables were  
179 obtained: MCS research serial number, cohort member  
180 number, sex, age, BMI and index of multiple deprivation (IMD)



181 decile (by country).<sup>(19, 20)</sup> Height and weight were measured by  
182 study investigators at each time point, and were not self-  
183 reported. Due to the sample stratification and clustering, the  
184 data needed to be set for analysis using an attrition/non  
185 response weight (whole of UK-level analysis), a Finite  
186 Population Correction factor (FPC), a stratum variable, and a  
187 ward variable to account for clustering. These variables were  
188 also obtained from the dataset.<sup>(20)</sup> Since variables were  
189 required from multiple datasets, files were merged together  
190 based on the MCS research serial number and cohort member  
191 number (used to represent twins/triplets). Raw BMI values  
192 were converted into BMI z scores/centiles using the LMS  
193 growth Microsoft Excel add-in<sup>(21)</sup> where UK 1990 growth  
194 references were selected. These centiles were then converted  
195 into weight status categories using the UK 1990 clinical cut off  
196 points: underweight ( $<2^{\text{nd}}$  centile); normal weight ( $\geq 2^{\text{nd}}$  but  
197  $<91^{\text{st}}$  centile); overweight ( $\geq 91^{\text{st}}$  centile but  $<98^{\text{th}}$  centile); and  
198 obese ( $\geq 98^{\text{th}}$  centile).<sup>(22)</sup> These categories are also used in the  
199 NCMP feedback letters to parents.<sup>(6)</sup> An additional category for  
200 severely obese children was also generated using the  $\geq 99.6^{\text{th}}$   
201 centile cut off.<sup>(14)</sup> IMD scores were used to assess the level of  
202 deprivation and were presented in quintiles. Ordinal logistic  
203 regression was applied to generate the predicted probability  
204 (% chances) of a child becoming underweight, normal weight,

205 overweight, obese and severely obese at age 11, with weight  
206 status at age 5, sex, deprivation, and their 3-way interaction as  
207 predictors. Interaction analyses presented are exploratory. All  
208 analyses were performed using Stata® software (StataCorp.  
209 2013. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13*. College Station,  
210 TX: StataCorp LP). Point estimates are presented together with  
211 95% confidence intervals. These intervals are not adjusted for  
212 multiple comparisons.<sup>(23)</sup>

213

214 Three sensitivity analyses were conducted. The first simply  
215 removed the second and third born twins/triplets to explore  
216 whether these had a substantial effect on the estimates. The  
217 second relaxed the constraint of the proportional odds  
218 assumption underpinning ordinal logistic regression and  
219 repeated all analyses using generalised ordinal logistic  
220 regression.<sup>(24)</sup> This model allows the effects of the predictor  
221 variables to vary with the point at which the categories of the  
222 age 11 weight status variable are dichotomised, rather than  
223 enforcing parallel lines. Finally, we explored the effect of  
224 missing data, given that 3 116 BMI values were missing at  
225 follow up. Under a missing at random assumption, a complete  
226 case analysis – our primary analysis - is unbiased in this  
227 context and methods such as multiple imputation can only  
228 exacerbate problems by introducing additional random

229 variation. However, multiple imputation can be used for a  
230 sensitivity analysis to examine the effects of substantial  
231 departures from the missing at random assumption. In the  
232 current study, it is plausible that those children lost to follow up  
233 had substantially higher BMI values – that is, data missing not  
234 at random. We imputed the 3 116 missing follow up BMI  
235 values predicted from baseline BMI using the Stata® ‘MI’  
236 module with predictive mean matching (random selection from  
237 10 nearest neighbours). Twenty imputations were made by sex  
238 and deprivation strata to preserve relationships for the higher  
239 order interactions in the analysis model. Using a pattern  
240 mixture modelling approach<sup>(25)</sup>, each imputed follow up BMI  
241 value was then inflated by 25% to simulate data missing not at  
242 random, with higher follow up BMI in those not presenting for  
243 measurement at age 11. We then converted these inflated BMI  
244 values into weight status categories using the same method  
245 previously described. The identical ordinal logistic regression  
246 model was then applied to the 20 imputed data sets, with results  
247 combined using Rubin’s rules<sup>(26)</sup>.

248

## 249 **Results**

250 12 076 children were included in the analyses who had a BMI  
251 measurement along with complete data for sex and IMD

252 score. The NCMP cleaning protocol<sup>(27)</sup> was used to explore  
253 whether there were any BMI outliers; only two BMI  
254 measurements were slightly outside the acceptable ranges  
255 given in the protocol; hence, these were retained in the  
256 analysis. Half (50.3%) of the sample were boys, and 25.8% and  
257 19.2% of children were in the most deprived (0-<20%) and  
258 least deprived (80-100%) IMD categories, respectively. The  
259 mean BMI at baseline was  $16.3 \pm 1.9$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and the mean age  
260 was  $5.2 \pm 0.3$  years. The mean BMI at follow up was  $19.2 \pm 3.7$   
261 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and the mean age was  $11.2 \pm 0.3$  years. At baseline (age  
262 5) the percentage of children who were underweight, normal  
263 weight, overweight and obese (including severely obese) were  
264 as follows: 1.1% (n=127), 82.4% (n=9 954), 10.3% (n=1 249)  
265 and 6.2% (n=746). At follow up (age 11) the percentages were  
266 as follows: 1.6% (n=188), 71.0% (n=8 577), 15.1% (n=1 819)  
267 and 12.4% (n=1 492). The percentage of children who were  
268 severely obese at age 5 and 11 were 2.9% (n=347) and 4.1%  
269 (n=494), respectively. The tracking of raw BMI between age 5  
270 and age 11 produced a correlation coefficient of 0.61.

271 Results from the full factorial ordinal logistic regression model  
272 are shown in Table 1, split by sex. Sex was shown to have little  
273 influence on these associations. Interestingly, overweight  
274 children had around a 1/3 chance of remaining overweight,

275 1/3 chance of returning to the normal weight category and 1/3  
276 chance of becoming obese. Obese (including severely obese)  
277 children at age 5 year-old had nearly a 70% chance of  
278 remaining obese at 11 years-old.

279 When the analysis was performed with an additional category  
280 for severe obesity, severely obese 5-year-olds had a 52.8%  
281 (45.3% to 60.3%) chance of remaining severely obese at 11  
282 years, and a 31.3% (27.4% to 35.1%) chance of decreasing  
283 their weight status and returning to the obese category ( $\geq 98^{\text{th}}$   
284 but  $< 99.6^{\text{th}}$  centile). There were no substantial differences  
285 between sexes: severely obese boys had 49.5% (39.4% to  
286 59.5%) chance of remaining severely obese compared to a  
287 56.6% (46.0% to 67.2%) chance for severely obese girls.  
288 Severely obese boys and girls had a 32.3% (28.1% to 36.5%)  
289 and 30.0% (24.1% to 35.8%) chance of decreasing their weight  
290 status and becoming obese, respectively. Boys who were  
291 obese (not severe) at age 5 had a 23.0% (17.2% to 28.8%)  
292 chance of becoming severely obese, whilst obese girls had a  
293 27.2% (19.7% to 34.7%) chance.

294 Results stratified by sex and deprivation are shown in Table 2.  
295 Non-deprived obese boys had a lower chance of remaining  
296 obese at age 11 compared to deprived obese boys; a  
297 difference of -21.8% (-40.4% to -3.2%). The opposite

298 association was found in obese girls, where non-deprived girls  
299 were more likely to remain obese than deprived obese girls;  
300 however, this difference was not substantial. The sex  
301 difference in this specific interaction of deprivation and  
302 baseline weight status was -28.8% (-59.3% to 1.6%). No other  
303 substantial differences were found between deprived and  
304 non-deprived boys/girls or when comparing boys versus girls;  
305 this was also the case when normal weight and overweight  
306 status were predicted at follow up (data not shown). We were  
307 unable to include underweight children in the analysis split by  
308 sex and deprivation as there were too few underweight  
309 children in the sample.

310 Table 3 shows the predicted percent chances of becoming  
311 severely obese by sex and deprivation. We also performed the  
312 analysis using the population monitoring cut points instead of  
313 the clinical cut points and found a slightly greater increase in  
314 the percent chances of becoming overweight or obese (results  
315 not shown). This was expected because the cut points are  
316 lower; hence, more children will have been categorised as  
317 overweight or obese.

318 When second and third born twins/triplets were removed  
319 from the analysis, there were no substantial differences in any  
320 of the predicted percent chances (data not shown). Similarly,

321 relaxation of the constraint of the proportional odds  
322 assumption had no material effect on the findings. Results  
323 from the sensitivity analysis with missing data are shown in  
324 Table 4 for predicting obesity by sex and deprivation. When  
325 comparing the original analysis (data missing at random  
326 assumption) against the multiple imputation analysis (missing  
327 not at random assumption), no material differences were  
328 found.

## 329 **Discussion**

330 This secondary analysis of data from the MCS has shown how  
331 a robust statistical approach can be used to predict a child's  
332 future weight status in an informative way using baseline  
333 weight status, sex and deprivation as predictor variables. This  
334 technique could be applied to NCMP data and predictions  
335 could be incorporated into the parental feedback letters, to  
336 better inform parents of the chances of their child becoming  
337 or remaining an unhealthy weight status. In fact, this statistical  
338 technique could be applied to any longitudinal dataset, and  
339 additional predictor variables could be included in the model.  
340 Furthermore, as we had a considerable proportion of missing  
341 outcome data, we have demonstrated an approach to  
342 sensitivity analysis for substantial departures from the missing  
343 at random assumption.

344 The main findings from the MCS analysis included showing  
345 that sex does not strongly influence the tracking of weight  
346 status from age 5 and 11. However, our exploratory  
347 interaction analyses suggest that deprivation might influence  
348 whether obese boys at age 5 will remain obese at age 11, with  
349 non-deprived boys substantially less likely to remain obese.  
350 This association was not evident in girls. This finding is subject  
351 to replication and confirmation, but it suggests that non-  
352 deprived obese boys have a protective effect against  
353 remaining obese in later childhood, perhaps mediated by  
354 environmental and psychological factors.

355 Some of the children included in the MCS would have been  
356 measured in the English National Child Obesity Dataset  
357 (NCOD) in 2005/2006, which was then renamed the NCMP the  
358 following year after improvements were made<sup>(28)</sup>. Children in  
359 the MCS would have also taken part in the NCMP in  
360 2011/2012 when they were in Year 6 of Primary School.

361 Analyses of NCMP cohort trends have shown that obesity  
362 prevalence in the most deprived children is nearly double the  
363 prevalence in the least deprived children. This inequality gap  
364 has shown to significantly increase by around 0.5% every year,  
365 showing inequalities are continuing to widen<sup>(29)</sup>. Analysis of  
366 cohort trends is limited because it does not explore how the



weight status of individuals changes over time, and is unable to explore the influence of sex and deprivation in depth. The analysis of individual children in the MCS identified a protective effect against obesity in more affluent obese boys, which would not have been seen in an analysis of cohort trends. Hence, this finding highlights the importance of obtaining linked NCMP data.

Following a change in NCMP legislation in 2013<sup>(30)</sup>, it is now possible to upload identifiable data through an NHS number, which, if submitted, will facilitate data linkage, and future tracking analyses. Since there are seven years between the two measurements, the earliest any national tracking analyses could be undertaken is 2019. That said, NCMP data can be obtained locally in those areas where data have been stored on the Child Health System (CHIS), although there are lengthy and time consuming governance procedures to overcome in order to access these data. Examples of local authorities that have obtained data via CHIS include Hull<sup>(31)</sup> and Southampton<sup>(32)</sup>; however, not all data was collected through the NCMP as some measurements were collected before the start of the NCMP.

The main limitation to this analysis was the large amount of missing data between baseline (age 5) and follow up (age 11)

390 where it was possible that these data might be missing not at  
391 random. However, we were able to conduct a sensitivity  
392 analysis, which showed only small differences in predicted  
393 probabilities when data was imputed under a missing not a  
394 random assumption. This finding is noteworthy, as we allowed  
395 for a large departure from the missing at random assumption,  
396 with imputed follow-up BMI values inflated by 25%. A second  
397 limitation was that some children were older than 5 years old  
398 at baseline and 11 years old at follow up; however, the  
399 majority of children were close to these ages. Also, only 1.1%  
400 of the cohort were underweight at age 5 and only 1.6% were  
401 underweight at age 11. Furthermore, only 2.9% and 4.1% of  
402 children were categorised as severely obese at age 5 and age  
403 11, respectively. Hence, even though we analysed over 12 000  
404 cases, a much larger sample would be required to be able to  
405 make robust predictions using these two categories. In  
406 addition, BMI may not be the most accurate measure of a  
407 child's weight status as it has shown to not always strongly  
408 correlate with body fat distribution.<sup>(33)</sup> However, BMI is the  
409 preferred method to use in a large sample as it is relatively  
410 quick to measure, less invasive than many other body fat  
411 assessments, and has shown to be a relatively robust  
412 measurement at a population level.<sup>(34)</sup> A final limitation of the  
413 analysis is that the majority of the sample was of white

414 ethnicity; hence, we were unable to explore the influence of  
415 ethnicity, which has shown to strongly affect the likelihood of  
416 developing obesity.<sup>(35, 36)</sup> Furthermore, the majority of children  
417 were sampled from England; hence, we were unable to  
418 conduct a country-by-country analysis.

419 At present MCS data are only freely available up age 11; it will  
420 be interesting to explore what effect a longer follow up period  
421 has on predicting whether children will become overweight or  
422 obese in later life, especially as adolescence is anticipated to  
423 be an important predictor of adult weight status.<sup>(37)</sup> In  
424 addition, it would be worthwhile to perform further analyses  
425 looking at the effect of physical activity and nutrition on  
426 changes in BMI, and also explore what factors contribute to  
427 the protective effect against obesity in non-deprived obese  
428 boys.

429 To conclude, this secondary data analysis has demonstrated  
430 how weight status can be tracked robustly and informatively  
431 over time. Such methods could be applied to other  
432 longitudinal datasets such as the NCMP.

### 433 **Acknowledgements**

434 The authors are grateful to “The Centre for Longitudinal  
435 Studies, Institute of Education” for the use of these data and

436 to the “UK Data Archive and Economic and Social Data Service”  
437 for making them available. However, they bear no  
438 responsibility for the analysis or interpretation of these data.

#### 439 **Conflict of interests**

440 Dr Louisa Ells is seconded to Public Health England 2 days per  
441 week as a specialist academic advisor.

442 **Supplementary material is available on NUTD’s website.**

#### 443 **References**

- 444 1. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N,  
445 Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of  
446 overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a  
447 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. The  
448 Lancet. 2014;**384**(9945):766-81.
- 449 2. Friedemann C, Heneghan C, Mahtani K, Thompson M,  
450 Perera R, Ward AM. Cardiovascular disease risk in healthy children  
451 and its association with body mass index: systematic review and  
452 meta-analysis. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2012;**345**:e4759.
- 453 3. Gouveia M, Frontini R, Canavarro M, Moreira H. Quality of  
454 life and psychological functioning in pediatric obesity: the role of  
455 body image dissatisfaction between girls and boys of different ages.  
456 Qual Life Res. 2014;**23**(9):2629-38.
- 457 4. Reilly JJ, Kelly J. Long-term impact of overweight and obesity  
458 in childhood and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality  
459 in adulthood: systematic review. Int J Obes. 2011;**35**(7):891-8.
- 460 5. Herman KM, Craig CL, Gauvin L, Katzmarzyk PT. Tracking of  
461 obesity and physical activity from childhood to adulthood: The  
462 Physical Activity Longitudinal Study. Int J Pediatr Obes.  
463 2009;**4**(4):281-8.
- 464 6. HSCIC. National Child Measurement Programme: England,  
465 2013/14 school year. December 2014. 10/08/2015. Available from:  
466 <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB16070>
- 467 7. Falconer CL, Park MH, Croker H, Skow Á, Black J, Saxena S, et  
468 al. The benefits and harms of providing parents with weight  
469 feedback as part of the national child measurement programme: a  
470 prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health. 2014;**14**:549.
- 471 8. Statham J, Mooney A, Boddy J, Cage M. Taking stock: a rapid  
472 review of the National Child Measurement Programme. Report to

the Department of Health [Internet]. April 2011. 04/05/2015.  
 Available from: <http://eprints.ioe.ac.uk/6743/>

9. Public Health England. National Child Measurement Programme: Guidance for data sharing and analysis July 2014. 10/09/2015. Available from: [http://www.noo.org.uk/NCMP/analytical\\_guidance](http://www.noo.org.uk/NCMP/analytical_guidance)

10. Bayer O, Krüger H, Von Kries R, Toschke AM. Factors Associated With Tracking of BMI: A Meta-Regression Analysis on BMI Tracking. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2011;**19**(5):1069-76.

11. Atkinson G, Nevill AM. Statistical methods for assessing measurement error (reliability) in variables relevant to sports medicine. *Sports Med.* 1998;**26**(4):217-38.

12. Pearce M, Webb-Phillips S, Bray I. Changes in objectively measured BMI in children aged 4-11 years: data from the National Child Measurement Programme. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2015;pii:fdv058. [Epub ahead of print].

13. Tripepi G, Jager, KJ., Dekker, FW., Wanner, C., Zoccali, C. Measures of effect: Relative risks, odds ratios, risk difference, and 'number needed to treat'. *Kidney International*. 2007;**72**(7):789-91.

14. Ells LJ, Hancock C, Copley VR, Mead E, Dinsdale H, Kinra S, et al. Prevalence of severe childhood obesity in England: 2006-2013. *Arch Dis Child*. 2015;**100**(7):631-6.

15. Simmonds MB JL, A; Griffiths, C; Yang, H; Owen, C; Duffy, S; Woolacott, N. The use of measures of obesity in childhood for predicting obesity and the development of obesity-related diseases in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Technol Assess*. 2015;**19**(43):1-336.

16. Steurer J, Fischer JE, Bachmann LM, Koller M, ter Riet G. Communicating accuracy of tests to general practitioners: a controlled study. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2002;**324**(7341):824-6.

17. Centre for Longitudinal Studies. Millennium Cohort Study. A Guide to the Datasets (Eighth Edition) First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Surveys February 2014. 18/08/2015. Available from: <http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/page.aspx?&sitesectionid=1266&sitesectiontitle=User+Guides>

18. Centre for Longitudinal Studies. The Millennium Cohort Study: Technical Report on Sampling July 2007. 18/08/2015. Available from: <http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/page.aspx?&sitesectionid=880&sitesectiontitle=Survey+Design>.

19. University of London Institute of Education Centre for Longitudinal Studies. Millennium Cohort Study: Third Survey, 2006 [computer file]. 6th Edition. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributor]; December 2012. SN: 5795, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-5795-3>.

20. University of London Institute of Education Centre for Longitudinal Studies. Millennium Cohort Study: Fifth Survey, 2012 [computer file]. 2nd Edition. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributor]; August 2015. SN: 7464, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-7464-2>.

21. LMS growth Microsoft Excel add-in software. Harlow Printing Limited [accessed 08/06/2015]. Available from: <http://www.healthforallchildren.com/shop-base/software/lmsgrowth/>.
22. Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. Arch Dis Child. 1995;**73**(1):25-9.
23. Rothman KJ. No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. Epidemiology. 1990;1(1):43-6.
24. Williams R. Generalized ordered logit/partial proportional odds models for ordinal dependent variables. Stata Journal. 2006;6(1):58-82.
25. Allinson P. *Missing Data*: Sage University Papers Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences. 07-136: Sage; 2001. p. 83-4.
26. Rubin D. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York: Wiley; 1987.
27. HSCIC. Validation of National Child Measurement Programme Data. February 2015. 16/09/2015. Available from: [http://www.hscic.gov.uk/media/16230/Validation-of-National-Child-Measurement-Programme-Data/pdf/Validation Principle and Rules.pdf](http://www.hscic.gov.uk/media/16230/Validation-of-National-Child-Measurement-Programme-Data/pdf/Validation_Principle_and_Rules.pdf)
28. Public Health England. Child Obesity Data Sources [09/09/2015]. Available from: [https://www.noo.org.uk/data\\_sources/child](https://www.noo.org.uk/data_sources/child).
29. Public Health England. National Child Measurement Programme. Changes in children's BMI between 2006/7 and 2012/13 November 2014. 15/09/2015. Available from: [http://www.noo.org.uk/NCMP/National\\_report](http://www.noo.org.uk/NCMP/National_report).
30. The Local Authority (Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny) Regulations 2013, No. 218 (2013.).
31. Porter M, Greene T, Taylor A. Childhood obesity in Hull: paired analysis. Hull: Hull PCT, December 2007.
32. King D. Child Growth Briefing Note; National Child Measurement Programme 2001/02 to 2009/10 Summary Report October 2011. 17/08/2015. Available from: [http://www.publichealth.southampton.gov.uk/Images/Child%20Growth%20Report%20SC%20PCT%20\(2011\).pdf](http://www.publichealth.southampton.gov.uk/Images/Child%20Growth%20Report%20SC%20PCT%20(2011).pdf).
33. Javed A, Jumeau M, Murad MH, Okorodudu D, Kumar S, Somers VK, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to identify obesity as defined by body adiposity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Obes. 2015;**10**(3):234-44.
34. Dinsdale H RC, Ells L. A simple guide to classifying body mass index in children 2011. 20/08/2015. Available from: [http://www.noo.org.uk/uploads/doc/vid\\_11601\\_A\\_simple\\_guide\\_to\\_classifying BMI in children.pdf](http://www.noo.org.uk/uploads/doc/vid_11601_A_simple_guide_to_classifying_BMI_in_children.pdf).
35. Freedman DS, Khan LK, Serdula MK, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. Racial differences in the tracking of childhood BMI to adulthood. Obes Res. 2005;13(5):928-35.
36. Karlsen S, Morris S, Kinra S, Vallejo-Torres L, Viner RM. Ethnic variations in overweight and obesity among children over

574 time: findings from analyses of the Health Surveys for England 1998-  
575 2009. *Pediatr Obes.* 2014;**9**(3):186-96.  
576 37. Dietz WH. Critical periods in childhood for the development  
577 of obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1994;**59**(5):955-9.

578

		Predicted percent chances of becoming each weight status category at age 11 (95%CI)			
Weight status category and sex at age 5		Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese inc. severe
Underweight	Male	14.2 (1.9 to 26.4)	82.2 (70.6 to 93.9)	2.6 (-0.7 to 5.8)	1.0 (-0.4 to 2.4)
	Female	29.9 (16.9 to 42.8)	68.2 (55.7 to 80.7)	1.4 (0.8 to 2.0)	0.5 (0.3 to 0.8)
Normal weight	Male	1.4 (1.1 to 1.7)	79.7 (78.4 to 81.0)	13.0 (12.0 to 13.9)	5.9 (5.3 to 6.5)
	Female	1.6 (1.3 to 1.9)	80.9 (79.7 to 82.0)	12.1 (11.3 to 12.9)	5.4 (4.9 to 6.0)
Overweight	Male	0.2 (0.2 to 0.3)	38.4 (34.5 to 42.3)	31.1 (29.5 to 32.7)	30.3 (26.7 to 33.9)
	Female	0.2 (0.1 to 0.2)	34.4 (30.7 to 38.0)	31.0 (29.4 to 32.6)	34.4 (31.0 to 37.9)
Obese inc. severe	Male	0.0 (0.0 to 0.1)	11.8 (8.6 to 15.1)	20.6 (17.4 to 23.8)	67.6 (61.4 to 73.7)
	Female	0.0 (0.0 to 0.1)	10.9 (7.8 to 14.0)	20.2 (16.3 to 24.2)	68.8 (61.9 to 75.8)

*\*numbers are rounded to 1 decimal place*

**Table 1:** The predicted percent chances of child becoming underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese at age 11 based on their weight status at age 5 and sex.



Weight status, sex and IMD (fifths) at age 5			Predicted percent chances of becoming obese (including severe) at age 11 (95%CI)
Normal weight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	7.4 (6.2 to 8.6)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	4.7 (3.9 to 5.5)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	6.6 (5.5 to 7.7)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	3.9 (3.0 to 4.7)
Overweight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	37.2 (29.2 to 45.3)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	27.0 (20.3 to 33.6)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	38.0 (30.7 to 45.3)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	30.9 (22.2 to 39.5)
Obese inc. severe	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	71.4 (61.6 to 81.2)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	49.6 (34.0 to 65.2)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	62.9 (50.9 to 74.9)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	69.9 (51.2 to 88.6)

\*numbers are rounded to 1 decimal place

**Table 2:** The predicted percent chances of a most and least deprived child becoming obese at age 11 based on their weight status at age 5 and sex

Weight status, sex and IMD (fifths) at age 5			Predicted percent chances of becoming severely obese at age 11 (95%CI)
Normal weight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	1.5 (1.2 to 1.8)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	0.9 (0.7 to 1.1)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	1.3 (1.0 to 1.6)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	0.8 (0.6 to 0.9)
Overweight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	10.2 (7.0 to 13.5)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	6.5 (4.4 to 8.5)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	10.2 (7.2 to 13.1)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	7.6 (4.7 to 10.5)
Obese (not inc. severe)	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	23.8 (13.1 to 34.5)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	12.9 (6.8 to 19.0)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	18.9 (11.0 to 26.8)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	22.4 (11.3 to 33.4)
Severely obese	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	58.7 (41.7 to 75.7)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	32.0 (-3.7 to 67.8)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	46.5 (24.6 to 68.4)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	76.8 (52.7 to 100)

\*numbers are rounded to 1 decimal place

**Table 3:** The predicted percent chances of a most and least deprived child becoming severely obese at age 11 based on their weight status at age 5 and sex

Weight status, sex and IMD (fifths) at age 5			Predicted percent chances of becoming obese (including severe) category at age 11 (95%CI)
Normal weight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	16.3 (14.5 to 18.1)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	9.1 (7.7 to 10.5)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	13.1 (11.4 to 14.9)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	7.5 (6.2 to 8.8)
Overweight	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	55.5 (48.9 to 62.1)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	37.9 (30.6 to 45.2)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	53.1 (46.7 to 59.5)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	42.1 (33.1 to 51.1)
Obese inc. severe	Male	Most deprived (0-20%)	82.2 (75.4 to 89.1)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	52.5 (40.3 to 64.7)
	Female	Most deprived (0-20%)	74.1 (65.8 to 82.5)
		Least deprived (80-100%)	75.7 (59.3 to 92.0)

\*numbers are rounded to 1 decimal place

**Table 4:** Sensitivity analysis - multiple imputation of missing data showing the predicted percent chances of a most and least deprived child becoming obese at age 11 based on their weight status at age 5 and sex